

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/79 7, 568	03/11/2004	Patricia Vreugdewater	081468-0308406 4810		
909 7590 06/23/2005			EXAMINER		
	RY WINTHROP SHAV	KIM, PETER B			
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2851		
			DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\searrow	
0-	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/797,568	VREUGDEWATER ET AL.					
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Peter B. Kim	2851					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	action is non-final.						
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement						
Application Papers							
_							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11 March 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>32004</u> .	6) Other:	aronn i ppilioduori (i 10°132)					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Act	tion Summary	Part of Panor No /Mail Data coops					
	Janniary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 62005					

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the first layer of separation layer parallel to the plane of the coil arrangement and second layer perpendicular to the plane of the coil in claims 4 and 14 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,568

Art Unit: 2851

Claim Objections

Claims 2-4, and 12-14 are objected to because of the following informalities: regarding claims 2 and 12, cooling element located radially outward from the coil is not clear. Regarding claims 3, 4, 13 and 14, the structural relationship between the first ad second layer and the coil arrangement is not clear. Appropriate correction is required.

Regarding the objected claims, the following art rejection is based on the examiner's best understanding.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 7-12, 15, and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Kubo (2003/0141769).

Kubo discloses a device manufacturing method and a lithographic apparatus comprising an actuating mechanism with a magnet assembly (101, 102) a coil arrangement (160) including a plurality of coils (161, 162) separated from each other by a separation layer (154) of high thermal conductivity material parallel to the coil arrangement in substantial thermal contact with at least one cooling element (153), the actuator configured to position support structure and substrate holder (para 0060), a radiation system (Fig. 12), a support structure (1202) to support a patterning device (1201), a substrate holder (1206) to hold a substrate (1205), and a projection system

Application/Control Number: 10/797,568

Art Unit: 2851

(1204). Kubo also discloses separation element formed of steel (para 0063) and coolant fluid circulated through circular cooling channel (Fig. 1, para 0066).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 4, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kubo in view of Tamai et al. (Tamai) (2004/0032170).

Kubo discloses the claimed invention as discussed above; however, Kubo does not disclose separation layer perpendicular to the plane of coil arrangement. Tamai discloses in Fig. 9, separation layer perpendicular to the coil arrangement. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a separation layer perpendicular to the plane of coil arrangement as in Tamai to the invention of Kubo in order to keep the accuracy of the actuator by keeping it from undergoing thermal deformation as taught by Tamai in para 0027.

Claims 6 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kubo in view of Aoyama et al. (Aoyama) (5,808,381).

Kubo discloses the claimed invention as discussed above; however, Kubo does not disclose the separation layer made of ceramic. Aoyama discloses coil support member made of ceramic. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill

Art Unit: 2851

in the art at the time of the invention to provide the separation layer made of ceramic to the invention of Kubo in order to use material with excellent heat conductivity and insulation as taught by Aoyama in the abstract.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter B. Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-2120. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2851

June 21, 2005